

Tuberculosis in 2017: Searching for new solutions in the face of new challenges

6th TB Symposium – Ministry of Health of the Republic of Belarus,
Republican Scientific and Practical Center for Pulmonology and Tuberculosis, and
Médecins Sans Frontières

1-2 March , 2017, MINSK , BELARUS

Ambulatory Care Day 1 for Multidrug Resistant Tuberculosis

Jay Achar

MSF



Ambulatory Care Day 1 for Multidrug Resistant Tuberculosis

Programme experience from Uzbekistan

Overview

- Reasons for ambulatory care from day 1 (ACD1)
- Uzbekistan experience with ACD1
 - Background
 - Overall outcomes
 - Comparison of hospitalisation vs ACD1

Prevention of nosocomial transmission of extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis in rural South African district hospitals: an epidemiological modelling study

Sanjay Basu, Jason R Andrews, Eric M Poolman, Neel R Gandhi, N Sarita Shah, Anthony Moll, Prashini Moodley, Alison P Galvani, Gerald H Friedland

- Examined different strategies for reducing transmission
- Infection control measures
 - Limited effect alone
 - Combination increased effect
- Nearly 1/3 XDR cases prevented by:
 - Mask use
 - Reduced hospitalisation time
- Involuntary detention predicted to increase transmission

Systematic Review of Hospitalised & Ambulatory Treatment of MDR TB

- The pooled treatment success rate was 66.4%
- No statistical difference between ambulatory and hospital treatment
 - Ambulatory success = 65.5% (95% CI: 55.1–74.6%)
 - hospital-based success = 66.7% (95% CI: 61.0–72.0%)

Review of Costs of MDR TB Treatment

- Limited studies
- The outpatient-based model of care could reduce the cost (per DALY averted) by over 50%
- Study in Uzbekistan currently being conducted

Reasons for Ambulatory Care Day 1 (ACD1)

- Reduced risk of transmission
- Likely lower cost
- Patient centred: patient choice about where to receive follow up

Uzbekistan experience of ACD1 for MDR TB Treatment

Background

- In 2010 MSF/MoH introduced new guidelines including ACD1 for MDR-TB
- Hospitalisation for
 - severe illness
 - XDR-TB
 - Unable to cope at home
- Between 2010 and 2015 MoH and MSF scaled up Comprehensive MDR TB care including ACD1 to all districts

Study Aim and Criteria

- Compare outcomes for MDR TB patients starting tx on ACD1 or in hospital
- Inclusion Criteria:
 - Confirmed MDR TB
 - Commenced on MDR TB regimen
 - Enrolled between 1/1/2010 and 31/12/2014
- Exclusion criteria
 - XDR TB (as this was hospitalisation criteria)
 - Missing baseline lab results (first line and second line DST)
 - Extrapulmonary TB (more likely to be hospitalised)
 - Started on Shorter MDR TB Regimen

Baseline

| Baseline Characteristic | Hospitalised | ACD1 | P value |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Age | 31.6 | 30.0 | 0.01 |
| Female Gender | 385 (50.8%) | 266 (49.5%) | 0.66 |
| Days Hospitalised | 84 | 0 | |
| BMI <18.5 | 387 (51.1%) | 216 (40.2%) | <0.001 |
| Not Employed | 671 (88.5%) | 469 (87.3%) | 0.52 |
| Heavy Alcohol Use | 70 (9.2%) | 40 (7.4%) | 0.26 |
| Diabetes | 42 | 34 | 0.71 |
| HIV | 1 | 2 | 0.44 |
| Cavities | 585 (79.3%) | 279 (52.1%) | <0.001 |

Month 2 Culture Conversion

| Start Treatment Site | M2 Culture Conversion | Total |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Hospitalised | 292 (38.5%) | 758 |
| ACD1 | 275 (51.2%) | 537 |

ACD1 treatment outcomes

| Site | Success | Died | Failed | LTFU | Total |
|----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| Hospital | 482 (63.6%) | 63 (8.3%) | 36 (4.8%) | 177 (23.3%) | 758 |
| ACD1 | 347 (64.6%) | 26 (4.8%) | 19 (3.5%) | 145 (27%) | 537 |
| Total | 829 (64.0%) | 89 (6.9%) | 55 (4.2%) | 322 (24.9%) | 1295 |

Adjusted OR for treatment success

| Variable | Description | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Treatment Site | Hospital | 1 | |
| | ACD1 | 1.00 (0.78 – 1.28) | 0.989 |
| Age | Per increasing year | 0.98 (0.97 – 0.99) | <0.001 |
| Female Gender | | 1.42 (1.12 – 1.79) | 0.004 |
| Baseline DST | Km resistance | 0.76 (0.59 -0.98) | 0.036 |
| Employment status | Employed | 1.95 (1.30 – 2.92) | 0.001 |
| Xray | Presence of cavitites | 0.88 (0.68 – 1.15) | 0.345 |

Summary findings

- No association between site of treatment initiation site and treatment success
- Female and employment status associated treatment success
- Increasing age and Km resistance associated with poor treatment outcome

Limitations

- Retrospective study
- Criteria for hospitalisation introduces bias
 - Impact lessened by gradual implementation
- Missing data
 - Missing lab data in particular led to exclusion
- Further work required to update to 2013 WHO definitions

Conclusions

- Patients started on ambulatory care for MDR TB treatment
 - In this study had less severe disease (BMI and x-ray cavities)
 - Were more likely to culture convert at 2 months
 - Similar rates of treatment success after accounting for measured factors

Conclusions

- Ambulatory Care from Day 1 can be an acceptable model of care for MDR TB treatment in contexts with high second line drug resistance

Acknowledgements

- MoH, Karakalpakstan
- MoH, Republic of Uzbekistan
- National TB institute, Uzbekistan
- MSF Team
- The patients!